UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	' FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/892,035	06/26/2001	Topi Koskinen	324-010440-US(PAR) 1830	
2512 PERMAN & G	7590 11/15/2007	EXAMINER		
425 POST ROAD			ELAHEE, MD S	
FAIRFIELD, CT 06824			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2614	•
				,
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
. Office Action Summary		09/892,035	KOSKINEN ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Md S. Elahee	2614				
-	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period fo	or Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is a solution of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		`.					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Au	<u>igust 2007</u> .	•				
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,12-14,16-30,40-42 and 44-58</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) 🗌	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1, 12-14, 16-30, 40-42 and 44-58</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	')☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∍ 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		,					
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This action is responsive to an amendment filed on 05/30/2007. Claims 1, 12-14, 16-30,

40-42 and 44-58 are pending. Claims 2-11, 15, 31-39, 43 and 59-112 have been previously

cancelled.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed on 05/30/2007 Remarks have been fully considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection which is deemed appropriate to address all of the

needs at this time.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 12-14, 16-29, 40-42 and 44-57 are objected to because of the following

informalities: regarding claim 12, the phrase "A system" in line 1 should apparently be "The

system". Claims 13, 14, 16-29 are objected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect

to claim 12. Regarding claim 40, the phrase "A method" in line 1 should apparently be "The

method". Claims 41, 42, 44-57 are objected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect

to claim 40. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1,12-14,16-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the phrase "the device" in line 17 of the claim is indefinite. The claim has two devices in lines 2 and 10. It is unclear what device is being referred by the phrase.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

Art Unit: 2614

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 1, 12-13, 16-20, 22, 24-30, 40-41, 44-48, 50 and 52-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wynblatt et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,219,696) in view of Nakasu et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,301,472) further in view of Minneman et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,243,740).

Regarding claims 1, 30 and 58, with respect to Figures 1, 2, Wynblatt teaches an electronic system comprising:

a local agent 28 [i.e., first electronic device] comprising a device for implementing a virtual noticeboard, and a first radio device for implementing data transmission transmitting information from the virtual noticeboard to a mobile information terminal 26 si.e., second portable electronic device], and the context in the virtual notice board is arranged to be transmitted to the second portable electronic device located within a geographically limited coverage area of the first radio device (fig.2, col.1, lines 66, 67, col.2, lines 1-8, 35-44, 49-66, col.3, line 66-col.4, line 8, col.4, lines 23-26, 49-62, col.5, lines 11-17);

the second portable electronic device comprising a second radio device for implementing the data transmission transmitting information from the virtual noticeboard to the second

Art Unit: 2614

portable electronic device, a device for processing information received from virtual noticeboard and a selecting device for selecting reception of the virtual noticeboard (col.1, lines 66, 67, col.2, lines 1-8, 35-44, col.3, line 66-col.4, line 8, col.4, lines 23-26, 49-62, col.5, lines 11-17);

wherein the first electronic device is portable user equipment, the first radio device is arranged to implement data transmission with regard to the virtual noticeboard such that a information [i.e., new message, a reply and/or a comment] is received from the second portable electronic device, the information [i.e., said new message, the reply and/or the comment] comprises text (col.5, lines 18-33) and the device for implementing the virtual noticeboard is arranged to provide information in the virtual noticeboard (col.4, lines 23-26, 49-62, col.5, lines 11-17, 32, 33, 37-61, 62); and

However, Wynblatt does not specifically teach "the first electronic device is portable user equipment in a mobile telephone system". Nakasu teaches that the first electronic device is portable user equipment in a mobile telephone system (fig.13; col.4, lines 31-33). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wynblatt to incorporate the first electronic device as portable user equipment in a mobile telephone system in order to provide telephone call such that a user can get notification of his important information.

Wynblatt in view of Nakasu further does not specifically teach "display the new messages, the reply and/or the comment in the virtual noticeboard". Minneman teaches displaying the responses [i.e., new messages, the reply and/or the comment] in the billboard [i.e., virtual noticeboard] (fig.2,5,8; col.6, lines 1-14, 23-56). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wynblatt in view of

Art Unit: 2614

Nakasu to incorporate the feature of displaying responses from a user by the billboard in order to transmit particular feedback directly to the agent.

Regarding claims 12, 40, Wynblatt teaches that the second device is portable user equipment in the mobile telephone system (fig.2; col.2, lines 39, 40, col.3, lines 1-4).

Regarding claims 13, 41, Wynblatt teaches that the radio means are a short-range radio transceiver in the mobile telephone system (fig.2).

Regarding claims 16, 44, Wynblatt teaches that the virtual noticeboard is bound to the first electronic device (col.4, lines 23-26, 49-62, col.5, lines 11-17).

Regarding claims 17, 45, Wynblatt teaches that the virtual noticeboard is inherently a personal noticeboard of the user of the first device (col.4, lines 23-26, 49-62, col.5, lines 11-17).

Regarding claims 18, 46. Wynblatt teaches that the selecting means are arranged to show the virtual noticeboards received by the second radio means, to select the virtual noticeboards desired by the user, and to request the first device to transmit the selected piece of information from the virtual noticeboard (col.5, line 64-col.6, line 16).

Regarding claims 19, 47, Wynblatt teaches that the first device comprises means for automatically transmitting information on the virtual noticeboard to all second devices located in the coverage area (col.5, line 64-col.6, line 16).

Regarding claims 20, 48, Wynblatt teaches that the selecting means are used for selecting whether or not to receive the information on the virtual noticeboard automatically transmitted by the first device (col.4, lines 23-26, 49-62, col.5, lines 11-17).

Regarding claims 22, 50, Wynblatt teaches that the second device comprises means for determining whether to include contact information in the reply information transmitted to the first device or whether to keep the second device anonymous (col.5, line 63-col.6, line 16).

Regarding claims 24, 52, Wynblatt teaches that the second device comprises means for transmitting the information retrieved from the virtual noticeboard of the first device to the application processing the information (fig.2; col.3, lines 1-4).

Regarding claims 25, 53, Wynblatt teaches that the application processing the information is communication software enabling data transmission from the second device with a party determined in the retrieved information (fig.2; col.3, lines 1-4).

Art Unit: 2614

Regarding claims 26, 54, Wynblatt teaches that the information on the virtual noticeboard of the first device is only transmitted to such second devices which meet predetermined conditions for use (col.3, line 66-col.4, line 8).

Regarding claims 27, 55, Wynblatt teaches that the conditions for use are based on membership in a group or on a particular user profile (fig.2; col.3, lines 1-4).

Regarding claims 28, 56, Wynblatt teaches that the transmitted information on the virtual noticeboard is text and/or voice and/or images and/or moving video image (fig.2; col.3, lines 1-4).

Regarding claims 29, 57, Wynblatt teaches that the context, in addition to location, also comprises time (col.5, lines 49-62).

8. Claims 14, 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wynblatt et al. in view of Nakasu further in view of Minneman et al. further in view of Emilsson (International Pub. No. WO 98/59506).

Regarding claims 14, 42, Wynblatt in view of Nakasu further in view of Minneman does not specifically teach "as a protocol, the radio means use a short message service, WAP (Wireless Application Protocol), wireless local area network, GSM data call or GPRS (General Packet Radio Service), or another wireless radio system protocol". Emilsson teaches that as a protocol, the radio means use a short message service, WAP (Wireless Application Protocol),

Art Unit: 2614

wireless local area network, GSM data call or GPRS (General Packet Radio Service), or another wireless radio system protocol (abstract; fig.1; page 2, lines 19-28, page 3, lines 1-5, 8-12, page 7, lines 15-21, page 8, lines 12-25, page 9, lines 1-5, 10-14). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Wynblatt in view of Nakasu further in view of Minneman to incorporate as a protocol, the radio means use a short message service, WAP (Wireless Application Protocol), wireless local area network, GSM data call or GPRS (General Packet Radio Service), or another wireless radio system protocol as taught by Emilsson. The motivation for the modification is to have doing so in order to transmit information without having any inconvenience.

Claims 21, 49, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wynblatt 9. et al. in view of Nakasu further in view of Minneman et al. further in view of Coad et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,966,652).

Regarding claims 21, 49, Wynblatt teaches that the first device comprises means for providing traffic updates, weather reports and public emergency reports etc. to user (col.6, lines 17-25). However, Wynblatt in view of Nakasu further in view of Minneman does not specifically teach "the first device comprises means for determining whether to automatically include contact information in the information transmitted to the second devices". Coad teaches that means for determining whether to automatically include contact information in the information transmitted to the second devices (col.6, lines 10-17). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time the invention was made to modify Wynblatt in view of Nakasu further in view of Minneman to incorporate the first device comprising means for determining whether to

Art Unit: 2614

automatically include contact information in the information transmitted to the second devices in order to insert telephone number so that the user can make a contact with the designated area for the desired information.

10. Claims 23, 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wynblatt et al. in view of Nakasu further in view of Minneman et al. further in view of Kailamaki et al. (U.S. Patent No. 2002/0029197).

Regarding claims 23, 51, Wynblatt teaches means for retrieving documents from its virtual noticeboard (col.5, lines 7, 8). However, Wynblatt in view of Nakasu further in view of Minneman does not specifically teach "the first device comprises means for calculating how many times a certain piece of information has been retrieved". Kailamaki teaches means for calculating how many times a certain piece of information has been retrieved (page 4, paragraph 0056). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time the invention was made to modify Wynblatt in view of Nakasu further in view of Minneman to incorporate means for calculating how many times a certain piece of information has been retrieved in order to keep record for billing.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Md S. Elahee whose telephone number is (571) 272-7536. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Fri from 8:30am to 5:00pm.

Art Unit: 2614

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-7547. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Page 11

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Públic PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MJ. Shafill Alam Elahue MD SHAFIUL ALAM ELAHEE

Examiner

Art Unit 2614

November 12, 2007